Article Submitted to Journal of Symbolic Computation

Constructing faithful representations of finitely-generated torsion-free nilpotent groups

Willem A. de Graaf[1] and Werner Nickel[2]

[1] *Mathematical Institute, University of Utrecht, PO BOX 80.010, 3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands*

[2] *Fachbereich Mathematik, TU Darmstadt, Schloßgartenstraße 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany*

Abstract

We formulate an algorithm for calculating a representation by unipotent matrices over the integers of a finitely-generated torsion-free nilpotent group given by a polycyclic presentation. The algorithm works along a polycyclic series of the group, each step extending a representation of an element of that series to the next element.

1. Introduction

A finitely-generated, nilpotent and torsion-free group is called a $\mathcal{T}$-group. An example of a $\mathcal{T}$-group is the subgroup $U_r(\mathbb{Z})$ of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of all upper-triangular matrices with all diagonal entries 1. By a theorem of S. A. Jennings (see [5]) every $\mathcal{T}$-group can be embedded into $U_r(\mathbb{Z})$. In this paper we consider the algorithmic problem of constructing such an embedding from a polycyclic presentation of a $\mathcal{T}$-Group.

Lo and Ostheimer ([8]) published a first algorithm for this purpose. For a $\mathcal{T}$-group G, they define a right ideal I of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ such that $\mathbb{Z}G/I$ is a finite-dimensional free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, and a faithful G-module. In order to construct a basis of this quotient the algorithm relies on the calculation of a Gröbner basis for I.

The main idea of our algorithm is borrowed from a proof of Ado's theorem for Lie algebras, as can for instance be found in [1], see also [4]. We work our way up a polycyclic series of G. The basic step consists of an algorithm for extending a unipotent matrix representation of a $\mathcal{T}$-group N to a unipotent matrix representation of a $\mathcal{T}$-group H, where N is a normal subgroup of H, and $H/N \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We let H act on the dual space $(\mathbb{Z}N)^*$ and construct a finite-dimensional faithful submodule.

As a byproduct this also leads to an essentially new proof of the theoretical result that every $\mathcal{T}$-group can be embedded into $U_r(\mathbb{Z})$. Our algorithm uses only simple concepts from linear algebra. As a consequence, its implementation is less involved than the Lo-Ostheimer approach. Moreover, experiments suggests that the algorithm presented here produces matrix representations of smaller dimension.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls properties of $\mathcal{T}$-groups needed later. In Section 3 we give the theoretical foundation of our algorithm. In Section 4 we formulate the algorithm and illustrate it with an example. Finally, in Section 5 we discuss the implementation of the algorithm in the computer algebra system GAP 4 ([3]), and the running times of the program on some sample inputs are given.

2. Preliminaries

A $\mathcal{T}$-group is polycyclic. In the following we will recall basic facts about polycyclic groups, specialised for the context of $\mathcal{T}$-groups. Sims [10, Chapter 9] gives a general introduction into the concepts mentioned here.

Let G be a $\mathcal{T}$-group. Then G is a poly-C_∞ group and there is a series of normal subgroups

$$G = G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \cdots \supset G_{m+1} = \{1\}$$

of G such that G_i/G_{i+1} is infinite cyclic and central for $1 \leq i \leq m$ (see [5]). Now let $u_i \in G_i$ be such that $u_i G_{i+1}$ generates G_i/G_{i+1}. By induction, it follows that each $g \in G$ can be written as a unique *normal* word $g = u_1^{e_1} \ldots u_m^{e_m}$ with $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call the sequence $(u_1, \ldots, u_m)$ a *poly-C_∞ generating sequence* for G. Since the normal series is central, $[u_j, u_i] \in G_{j+1}$ and $[u_j, u_i]$ is a normal word w_{ij} in $u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_m$. Relative to a poly-C_∞ generating sequence $(u_1, \ldots, u_m)$ the group G has a presentation of the form

$$G = \langle\, u_1, \ldots, u_m \mid u_j u_i = u_i u_j w_{ij} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \leq m \,\rangle \qquad (1)$$

A presentation of the form (1) is called a polycyclic presentation of G. Using the polycyclic presentation any word in $(u_1, \ldots, u_m)$ can be rewritten as a normal word. There are algorithms for rewriting a group element to a word in normal form, called collection algorithms (see [10], [6], [7], [11]). If every element $g \in G$ has a *unique* normal form, then the polycyclic presentation (1) is called consistent. In the sequel we assume that every $\mathcal{T}$-group is given by a consistent polycyclic presentation of the form (1).

If a $\mathcal{T}$-group is given by a consistent polycyclic presentation, then the multiplication of two elements in normal form can be performed by collecting the concatenation of the two words to normal forms. Clearly, the exponents in the normal form of the product are a function of the exponents in the factors.

Theorem 1 (P. Hall) *Let G be a $\mathcal{T}$-group and $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ a poly-C_∞ generating sequence for G. Let $g = u_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots u_m^{\alpha_m}$ and $h = u_1^{\beta_1} \ldots u_m^{\beta_m}$ be elements of G and denote the sequence of exponents of g and h by α and β, respectively.*

Then there are polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ such that

$$gh = u_1^{f_1(\alpha,\beta)} \cdots u_m^{f_m(\alpha,\beta)}.$$

Furthermore, each f_i has the form

$$f_i(x, y) = x_i + y_i + p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}).$$

Proof: The first part is Theorem 6.5 of Hall [5]. The second part follows from the fact that G_i/G_{i+1} is central and from the observation, that the exponent of u_i in the product can be computed in the factor group G/G_{i+1}. Therefore, only the exponents of $u_1, \ldots, u_i$ contribute to the exponent of u_i in the product. □

Lemma 2 *Let G be a $\mathcal{T}$-group with poly-C_∞ generating sequence $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ and $\rho : G \to U_r(\mathbb{Z})$ a representation of G.*

Then there are polynomials $p_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ such that the (i, j)-th entry of the matrix

$$\rho(u_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots u_m^{\alpha_m})$$

is equal to $p_{ij}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$.

Proof: We have that $\rho(u_i) = 1 + M_i$ where M_i is strictly upper triangular. Therefore, $M_i^r = 0$ and

$$\rho(u_i^{\alpha_i}) = (1 + M_i)^{\alpha_i} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha_i}{k} M_i^k = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{\alpha_i}{k} M_i^k.$$

Now the binomial coefficient $\binom{\alpha_i}{k}$ is the polynomial

$$\frac{\alpha_i(\alpha_i - 1) \ldots (\alpha_i - k + 1)}{k!}$$

in α_i. Since the number of terms in the sum is independent of α_i, each entry in the matrix $\rho(u_i^{\alpha_i})$ is a polynomial in α_i. It follows that the entries of the matrix $\rho(u_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots u_m^{\alpha_m})$ are polynomials in $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$. □

3. Constructing extensions

Now let G be a $\mathcal{T}$-group with polycyclic generating sequence $u_1, \ldots, u_m$. We want to construct an injective homomorphism $\rho : G \to U_r(\mathbb{Z})$ for some $r > 0$. The method for constructing such a homomorphism described in this paper starts with a representation ρ_m of the subgroup generated by u_m. For example we can set

$$\rho_m(u_m) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The main part of the algorithm consists of a method for constructing a representation ρ_k for the group generated by $u_k, \ldots, u_m$ from a representation ρ_{k+1} of the group generated by $u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_m$. Starting with ρ_m as given above and iterating this process $m-1$ times gives a representation for G of the required type.

Suppose that H is a $\mathcal{T}$-group, N a normal subgroup of H such that H/N is an infinite cyclic group and $\rho : N \to U_r(\mathbb{Z})$ a representation of N. Let h_0 be an element of H such that $\langle h_0 N \rangle = H/N$ and let $n_1, \ldots, n_t$ be a poly-C_∞ generating sequence of N.

The group algebra of N over the integers is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}N$ and

$$(\mathbb{Z}N)^* = \{ f : \mathbb{Z}N \to \mathbb{Z} \mid f \text{ is linear} \}$$

is its dual space. Let N act on $\mathbb{Z}N$ via multiplication from the right and define $a^{h_0} = h_0^{-1} a h_0$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}N$. It is elementary to check that this definition can be extended to a right action of H on $\mathbb{Z}N$ (compare Segal [9, Proposition 5.1]). This induces an action from the left of H on $(\mathbb{Z}N)^*$ as follows

$$(h \cdot f)(a) = f(ah) \text{ for } f \in (\mathbb{Z}N)^*, h \in H, a \in \mathbb{Z}N.$$

For $1 \le i, j \le r$ define $c_{ij} \in (\mathbb{Z}N)^*$ to be the function that maps each $a \in \mathbb{Z}N$ to the (i,j)-th entry of $\rho(a)$. The linear function c_{ij} is called a *coefficient* of the representation. By Lemma 2, there is a polynomial $p_{ij}(x_1, \ldots, x_t)$ such that $c_{ij}(n_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots n_t^{\alpha_t}) = p_{ij}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t)$. Let C_ρ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by $\{c_{ij} \mid 1 \le i, j \le r\}$. We call C_ρ the *coefficient space* of ρ.

Lemma 3 *The coefficient space C_ρ is an $\mathbb{Z}N$-module. If ρ is faithful, then C_ρ is faithful.*

Proof: For $n \in N$ the equation

$$(n \cdot c_{ij})(a) = c_{ij}(an) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{ik}(a) c_{kj}(n) \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{Z}N$$

shows that $n \cdot c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_{kj}(n) c_{ik} \in C_\rho$ for $1 \le i, j \le r$ and that C_ρ is an $\mathbb{Z}N$-module.

For $n \in N$ suppose that $n \cdot c_{ij} = c_{ij}$ for all $1 \le i, j \le r$. Evaluating c_{ij} at the identity element of N gives

$$c_{ij}(1) = (n \cdot c_{ij})(1) = c_{ij}(n) \quad \text{for } 1 \le i, j \le r$$

and $\rho(n) = \rho(1)$. If ρ is faithful, this implies $n = 1$ and shows that C_ρ is a faithful N-module. □

Now let S_ρ be the $\mathbb{Z}H$-submodule of $(\mathbb{Z}N)^*$ generated by C_ρ. As a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, S_ρ is generated by the the set $\{h_0^k c_{ij} \mid 1 \le i, j \le r; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This follows directly from the fact that N is normal in H and that C_ρ is generated by $\{c_{ij} \mid 1 \le i, j \le r\}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

Lemma 4 *Let $f \in S_\rho$. Then there is $p_f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_t]$ such that*

$$f(n_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots n_t^{\alpha_t}) = p_f(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t).$$

Proof: For $f \in C_\rho$ this is clear by the remarks made earlier. By Theorem 1 there are polynomials $q_1, \ldots, q_t \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_t]$ such that for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$n_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots n_t^{\alpha_t}\ h_0^k = h_0^k\ n_1^{\alpha_1} n_2^{\alpha_2 + q_2(\alpha_1)} \cdots n_t^{\alpha_t + q_t(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{t-1})}$$

and each q_i is a polynomial in $x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}$ only. Setting $\beta_i = q_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}(h_0^k \cdot c_{ij})(n_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots n_t^{\alpha_t}) &= c_{ij}(h_0^{-k} n_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots n_t^{\alpha_t} h_0^k) = c_{ij}(n_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1} \cdots n_t^{\alpha_t + \beta_t}) \\ &= p_{ij}(\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_t + \beta_t).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the polynomial corresponding to $h_0^k c_{ij}$ is $p_{ij}(x_1 + q_1, \ldots, x_t + q_t)$. □

Theorem 5 *As a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, S_ρ is finite-dimensional. Furthermore, there exists a basis of S_ρ such that the corresponding matrix representation maps each $h \in H$ to an upper triangular matrix with all diagonal entries* 1.

Proof: Let R be the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_t]$ and let $<$ be the reverse lexicographic order on the monomials of R defined as follows: $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_t^{k_t} < x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_t^{l_t}$ if there is an index $1 \le i \le t$ such that $k_t = l_t, \ldots, k_{i+1} = l_{i+1}$ and $k_i < l_i$. Define the *leading monomial* $\mathrm{lm}(p)$ of an element $p \in R$ to be the largest monomial with respect to $<$ that occurs in p with non-zero coefficient. Then $<$ induces a partial order on R defined by $p < q$ if $\mathrm{lm}(p) < \mathrm{lm}(q)$. It is routine to show that $<$ satisfies the descending chain condition and is translation invariant, i.e. that $p < q$ implies $sp < sq$ for any $s \in R$.

By the previous lemma, the partial order on R induces a partial order on S_ρ by $f < g$ if $p_f < p_g$. We will prove that for all $h \in H$ and $f \in S_\rho$ there is a $g \in S_\rho$ with $g < f$ such that $h \cdot f = f + g$.

By Theorem 1 there are polynomials $q_i \in R$ in $x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}$ such that for $h \in H$

$$h^{-1} n_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots n_t^{\alpha_t} h = n_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1} \cdots n_t^{\alpha_t + \beta_t}$$

where $\beta_i = q_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1})$. In particular $q_i < x_i$.

Let $f \in S_\rho$ and set $f' = h \cdot f$, then $p_{f'} = p_f(x_1 + q_1, x_2 + q_2, \ldots, x_t + q_t)$. By expanding and reordering the monomials in p_f we get $p_{f'} = p_f(x_1, \ldots, x_t) + q(x_1, \ldots, x_t)$. The polynomial q is a sum of monomials from p_f in which some of the x_i have been replaced by q_i. The translation invariance of $<$ and the fact that $q_i < x_i$ imply that $q < p_f$. Since $p_{f'}$ and p_f are polynomials corresponding to elements of S_ρ, there is an element $g \in S_\rho$ such that $p_g = q$. This shows that $h \cdot f = f + g$ and $g < f$.

Now let $f_0 \in S_\rho$ and set $f_{k+1} = h_0 \cdot f_k - f_k$ for $k \ge 0$. Since the order $<$ satisfies the descending chain condition, there exists a $K \ge 0$ such that $h_0 \cdot f_K = f_K$.

Since S_ρ is generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module by the set $\{h_0^k c_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i,j \leq r; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we have that S_ρ is finite-dimensional as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

Let $\{f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of S_ρ. By subtracting basis elements from other basis elements if necessary, we may assume that $\mathrm{lm}(p_{f_i}) \neq \mathrm{lm}(p_{f_j})$ for $i \neq j$. Therefore, all elements of this basis are comparable with respect to the order $<$. Suppose they have been ordered such that $f_i < f_j$ if $i < j$. Then for $h \in H$ the matrix of h with respect to this basis is the identity matrix plus a strictly upper triangular matrix. □

Proposition 6 *Suppose that ρ is a faithful representation of N. Then the representation $\sigma : H \to GL(S_\rho)$ afforded by S_ρ is also faithful on N. Furthermore, σ is a faithful representation of H or $h_0 n = n h_0$ for all $n \in N$.*

Proof: The $\mathbb{Z}N$-module S_ρ contains the $\mathbb{Z}N$-submodule C_ρ. By Lemma 3, C_ρ is faithful if ρ is faithful.

Let h be an arbitrary element of H. Then h has the form $h_0^k n$ where $n \in N$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now suppose that $\sigma(h) = 1$. Then $(h_0^k n \cdot c_{ij})(a) = c_{ij}(a)$ for all $1 \leq i,j \leq r$ or, equivalently, $c_{ij}(h_0^{-k} a h_0^k n) = c_{ij}(a)$ for all $1 \leq i,j \leq r$ and $a \in N$. Since C_ρ is a faithful $\mathbb{Z}N$-module, this is equivalent to $h_0^{-k} a h_0^k n = a$ for all $a \in N$. Taking $a = 1$, implies $n = 1$ and $h_0^{-k} a h_0^k = a$ for all $a \in N$, hence $\sigma(h_0^k) = 1$. Since $\sigma(h_0)$ is unipotent by the previous theorem, we have that $\sigma(h_0) = 1$, whence the second statement. □

4. The algorithm

We formulate the algorithm based on the results of the previous section. For that we set

$$E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Algorithm `Representation`
Input: a $\mathcal{T}$-group G and a polycyclic generating sequence $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ of G.
Output: a faithful representation $\sigma : G \to U_r(\mathbb{Z})$.

1. Let H_k be the subgroup of G generated by $u_k, \ldots, u_m$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m$.
2. Let $\rho_m : H_m \to U_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the representation of H_m given by $\rho_m(u_m) = E_2$.
3. For $i = m-1, m-2, \ldots, 1$ do the following.

   Let $\rho_{i+1} : H_{i+1} \to U_s(\mathbb{Z})$ be a faithful unipotent matrix representation of H_{i+1}.
   If u_i commutes with $u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_m$ then let ρ_i be the representation

$$\rho_i(u_j) = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} \rho_{i+1}(u_j) & & \\ \hline & 1 & 1 \\ & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

for $j = i+1, \ldots, m$ and

$$\rho_i(u_i) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

If u_i does not commute with $u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_m$, then calculate the spaces $C_{\rho_{i+1}}$ and $S_{\rho_{i+1}}$. Let ρ_i be the representation of H_i acting on $S_{\rho_{i+1}}$.

4. Return ρ_1.

Proposition 7 *The algorithm* `Representation` *returns a faithful representation of a $\mathcal{T}$-group G by unipotent matrices over $\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof: We prove that the representations ρ_i are faithful and by unipotent matrices. For ρ_m this is clear. Furthermore, suppose that this holds for ρ_{i+1}. If u_i commutes with $u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_m$ then it is straightforward to see that the map ρ_i is a group homomorphism, that it is faithful and by unipotent matrices. If on the other hand u_i does not commute with $u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_m$, then ρ_i is by unipotent matrices by Theorem 5. Furthermore, it is faithful by Proposition 6. □

Corollary 8 *Let G be a $\mathcal{T}$-group, then G has a faithful finite dimensional representation by unipotent matrices over the integers.*

Example 9 We consider the following group:

$$G = \langle a, b, c, d, e, f \mid \\ [b,a] = c, [c,a] = d, [d,a] = e, [d,b] = f, [e,b] = f, [d,c] = f^{-1} \rangle,$$

(trivial commutators of the generators have been omitted). The generators d, e, f generate an Abelian subgroup. It follows that for first two steps of the algorithm, the first half of Step 3 applies. Hence we get a representation $\rho_4 : \langle d, e, f \rangle \to \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$\rho_4(d^m e^n f^p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & n & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & m \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we extend ρ_4 to a representation of the group generated by c, d, e, f. First

we calculate C_{ρ_4}. Since this is the space of all coefficients, C_{ρ_4} is spanned by four functions f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(d^m e^n f^p) &= 1 \\ f_2(d^m e^n f^p) &= m \\ f_3(d^m e^n f^p) &= n \\ f_4(d^m e^n f^p) &= p. \end{aligned}$$

In order to calculate S_{ρ_4} we let c act on these functions. For example:

$$c \cdot f_4(d^m e^n f^p) = f_4(c^{-1} d^m e^n f^p c) = f_4(d^m e^n f^{p-m}) = p - m.$$

Hence $c \cdot f_4 = f_4 - f_2$. In the same way one sees that $c \cdot f_1 = f_1$, $c \cdot f_2 = f_2$ and $c \cdot f_3 = f_3$. Hence $S_{\rho_4} = C_{\rho_4}$. Using column convention, the representation ρ_3 is given by

$$\rho_3(c^l d^m e^n f^p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m & n & p \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -l \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Analogously it is seen that C_{ρ_3} contains five functions and $S_{\rho_3} = C_{\rho_3}$. The representation ρ_2 of the group generated by b, c, d, e, f is given by

$$\rho_2(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l & m & n & p \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & k-l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence C_{ρ_2} is spanned by six functions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) &= 1 \\ f_2(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) &= k \\ f_3(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) &= l \\ f_4(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) &= m \\ f_5(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) &= n \\ f_6(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) &= p. \end{aligned}$$

Before letting a act on C_{ρ_2} we calculate its conjugation action on the normal subgroup generated by b, c, d, e, f. By an induction argument it is readily seen that

$$a^{-1} b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p a = b^k c^{l+k} d^{m+l} e^{n+m} f^{p-\binom{l}{2}}.$$

Hence only the action of a on f_6 promises to yield new functions. Now

$$a \cdot f_6(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) = f_6(b^k c^{l+k} d^{m+l} e^{n+m} f^{p-\binom{l}{2}}) = p - \binom{l}{2}.$$

So $a \cdot f_6$ is not a linear combination of functions that we saw before. We define f_7 by

$$f_7(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) = \frac{1}{2}l^2 - \frac{1}{2}l.$$

Going on:

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot f_7(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) &= f_7(b^k c^{l+k} d^{m+l} e^{n+m} f^{p-\binom{l}{2}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(l+k)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(l+k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}l^2 - \frac{1}{2}l + kl + \frac{1}{2}k^2 - \frac{1}{2}k. \end{aligned}$$

Again we get a new function f_8 defined by $f_8(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) = kl + \frac{1}{2}k^2 - \frac{1}{2}k$. Continuing:

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot f_8(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) &= f_8(b^k c^{l+k} d^{m+l} e^{n+m} f^{p-\binom{l}{2}}) \\ &= k(l+k) + \frac{1}{2}k^2 - \frac{1}{2}k \\ &= kl + \frac{1}{2}k^2 - \frac{1}{2}k + k^2. \end{aligned}$$

This leads yet again to a new function: $f_9(b^k c^l d^m e^n f^p) = k^2$. But now the process stops; we have $a \cdot f_9 = f_9$. So we arrive at a 9-dimensional representation; we leave it to the reader to write down the matrices.

5. Implementation

We have implemented the algorithm in the computer algebra system GAP 4. In this system the basic functionality for dealing with $\mathcal{T}$-groups (e.g., representation of words in the group and the collection algorithm) is already present. In this section we outline the implementation of the algorithm `Representation`. For this we revert to the language of Section 3.

First of all we note that if h_0 commutes with the generators $n_1, \ldots, n_t$, then the representation is extended to H without problems. So suppose that this is not the case, and we have to compute the module S_ρ. The main problem that we have to deal with is due to the fact that the dual space $(\mathbb{Z}N)^*$ is infinite-dimensional. However since we are only interested in the finite-dimensional subspace S_ρ there is a way around this problem. First we deal with the problem of representing a function in S_ρ on a computer. If c is a coefficient of ρ then this is easy: we only need to store the position ij such that $c(n)$ is the ij-th coefficient of the matrix $\rho(n)$. Furthermore, for $f = h_0^k \cdot c$ we store the integer k together with the position ij. Then we can calculate the value of $f(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}N$. Also because any function in S_ρ is a linear combination of functions of the form $h_0^k \cdot c$ we can represent all elements of S_ρ.

Now we consider the problem of doing linear algebra inside S_ρ. For that we

need to represent any element as a vector (list of coefficients). Then using Gaussian elimination we can find linearly independent sets, calculate matrices of endomorphisms of S_ρ and so on. For this we choose a finite set A of elements of the group N and we represent an element f of S_ρ as a vector $(f(a))_{a\in A}$. The set A is called a *discriminating set* for S_ρ if for every $f \in S_\rho$ such that $f \neq 0$ there is an $a \in A$ such that $f(a) \neq 0$. Since S_ρ is finite-dimensional, discriminating sets for S_ρ exist, and a smallest discriminating set consists of $\dim S_\rho$ elements. Unfortunately we only have the following rather crude method for selecting a discriminating set. Initially we let A be the set of all elements of N of degree bounded by some limit $d \geq 1$. Here we choose d large enough to ensure that A is a discriminating set for C_ρ. In particular we have that 1, along with the generators $n_1, \ldots, n_t$ are in A. Using this discriminating set we calculate the closure of C_ρ under the action of h_0. If A happens to be a discriminating set for S_ρ, then this will give us a basis of S_ρ. If A is not a discriminating set for S_ρ, then two things could go wrong: the resulting representation might not be a group homomorphism, or or it might not be faithful. In the first case we increase the bound d, and start again. On the other hand, if the resulting representation is a group homomorphism, then it is also faithful. To see this we use the notations from the proof of Proposition 6. In this case we get that $f(h_0^{-k}ah_0^k n) = f(a)$ for $f \in \overline{S}_\rho$ and $a \in A$, where $\overline{S}_\rho$ is the space that we computed. Since $\overline{S}_\rho$ contains C_ρ we see that $h_0^{-k}ah_0^k n = a$ for all $a \in A$. As A contains 1 we have that $n = 1$. Since $\overline{S}_\rho$ is a quotient module of S_ρ we have that h_0 acts by a unipotent matrix on $\overline{S}_\rho$ as well. So again we get that h_0 commutes with all elements of A, and in particular with the generators n_i. But this is excluded, and therefore the representation is faithful.

6. Examples

Table 1 lists a number of experimental results obtained with the implementation pf the algorithm in GAP 4. All computations were done on a Linux system with a 600MHz Pentium III processor and 40MB of working memory for GAP. We use the following naming conventions. First, $U_k(\mathbb{Z})$ denotes as before the full unitriangular group over $\mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, $F(k,n)$ is the free nilpotent group with k generators and class n, and $E(k,n)$ is the largest, nilpotent k-generator group satisfying the n-th Engel identity. An asterisk * at the name of a group indicates that we have taken the largest torsion free quotient of the named group. This can be done by factoring out the torsion subgroup using the GAP 4 package 'Polycyclic' [2].

From Table 1 we see that the algorithm is efficient enough to be able to deal with goups with rather large Hirsch length. However, the running times and dimensions obtained appear to increase exponentially. Also the dimensions of the modules found by the algorithm are generally much smaller than the ones constructed in [8].

Description	Class	Hirsch length	Dimension	Time
$U_2(\mathbb{Z})$	1	1	2	0
$U_3(\mathbb{Z})$	2	3	3	0
$U_4(\mathbb{Z})$	3	6	7	0.4
$U_5(\mathbb{Z})$	4	10	16	12.3
$U_6(\mathbb{Z})$	5	15	35	181.3
F(2, 2)	2	3	3	0
F(2, 3)	3	5	6	0.3
F(2, 4)	4	8	10	2.3
F(2, 5)	5	14	20	119.7
F(3, 2)	2	6	6	0.2
F(3, 3)	3	14	17	14.9
$\langle x, y \mid [y,x,x],[y,x,y,y,y]\rangle^*$	5	6	11	1.7
$E(2,3)^*$	3	6	6	0.3
$E(3,3)^*$	4	17	26	151.4
$E(4,2)$	6	11	19	19.0

Table 1: Experimental results for the algorithm. The fourth column displays the dimension of the module obtained by the algorithm. The fifth column contains the running time in seconds.

References

[1] N. Bourbaki. *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Chapitre I.* Hermann, Paris, 1971.

[2] B. Eick and W. Nickel. *Polycyclic*, 2000. A GAP package, see [3].

[3] The GAP Group, Aachen, St Andrews. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.2*, 2000. (`http://www-gap.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/~gap`).

[4] W. A. de Graaf. *Lie Algebras: Theory and Algorithms*, volume 56 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. Elsevier Science, 2000.

[5] P. Hall. Nilpotent groups. Notes of Lectures given at the Canadian Mathematical Congress, University of Alberta, 1957.

[6] M. Hall, Jr. *The Theory of Groups*. Macmillan, New York, 1959.

[7] C. R. Leedham-Green and L. H. Soicher. Collection from the left and other strategies. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 9:665–675, 1990.

[8] E. H. Lo and G. Ostheimer. A practical algorithm for finding matrix representations for polycyclic groups. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 28(3):339–360, 1999.

[9] D. Segal. *Polycyclic Groups*. Cambridge University Press, 1994.

[10] C. C. Sims. *Computation with Finitely Presented Groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[11] M. R. Vaughan-Lee. Collection from the left. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 9:725–733, 1990.